PAME REPORT TO SENIOR ARCTIC OFFICIALS

Fairbanks, Alaska - April 27-28, 2000

CURRENT STATUS

PAME has not met since the last SAO meeting in Washington D.C November 1999 but the status of the working plan (Attachment I) is on schedule as presented below.

RPA PROGRESS

With respect to the RPA adopted in September 1998 following progress has been made:

*RPA Programme support elements*

The implementation and programme support elements of the RPA are moving forward and the main updates regarding the RPA progress are as follows:

- Mr. Kenneth Korporal from the GPA Clearing-House has accepted our offer to present the GPA Clearing-House Mechanism at our next PAME Meeting that will be held in Copenhagen June 5-9 this year. Is this an important step towards a possible clearing-house mechanism of the RPA. The GPA office recognizes this as an excellent opportunity to further interact with the members of PAME and to provide a forum to discuss further synergies and potential areas of collaboration between the GPA and PAME

*Russian NPA-Arctic*

PAME is actively involved in the activities of the GEF PDF-B Project of the Russian NPA-Arctic. The PAME Secretary has attended meetings regarding the preparation of pre-investment studies and the Identification and Characterisation of Hot-Spots. The outcome of these meetings plays an essential role in providing the background work necessary for the IFIs. Other meetings on the Russian NPA-Arctic have been on the transportation mechanisms and review of the legislations that are currently in place. Progress has been made as follows:

- Commitments towards the funding for the three year product have been received from, USA, Canada, Denmark, Sweden, Norway, GPA, GEF, EU and UK – Finland supports this project by contribution through the PAME International Secretariat and Iceland has given a positive response to support this project (Attachment II).
• The Interagency Working Group on Development and Implementation of the Russian NPA-Arctic, chaired by ACOPS’ Co-ordinator and the Chairman of the State Committee of the North has now been upgraded and has become part of the Interagency Commission on the Arctic and Antarctic, also to be chaired by Mr. Vladimir Goman. Members of the Commission are at the level of deputy ministers.

• Finland has provided ACOPS with criteria for selecting hot spots as well as guidelines for pre-investment studies, which is based on their experience and previous work in the Baltic Sea area.

• PAME Executive Secretary has met with some of the potential IFI’s, such as the Managing Director of NEFCO and a Senior Environmental Analyst of NIB to discuss their involvement in this project and the Partnership Conference that is scheduled for mid-2001. Active involvement of NEFCO is in the process of being established by their participation in the May meeting of the Working Group on Pre-Investment Studies which will consist of a one-day seminar/workshop entitled “The Role of Financial Institutions”.

The main purpose is to get the input from the IFIs early on in the process and provide a forum for them to introduce their lessons learned from past experiences. This is anticipated to be in a form of a list of “dos and don’ts” which our Russian colleagues can then base their analysis and reporting.

• EBRD has decided to attend the May meeting and participate in this seminar/workshop.

• ACOPS is in contact with the World Bank regarding their involvement at the May seminar/workshop.

• We are still hopeful for the involvement of the AMAP PCB project to become a part of the Russian NPA-Arctic Partnership Conference as there is a good reason for PAME/AMAP to collaborate on projects as they move from assessments to pollution prevention and control projects – and can this be seen as a great opportunity to improve working group coordination approach to GEF and other International Funding Institutions.

ACAP

PAME is continuing its work through a collaborative approach with other working groups on the development of the Arctic Council Action Plan (ACAP). PAME offers two recommendations on ACAP:

• Include EPPR’s and PAME’s role in risk analysis and pollution prevention

• Portray the RPA as a building block for ACAP

INTERNATIONAL CONVENTIONS AND AGREEMENTS

Update of the current status of the international conventions and agreements as presented in the 1996 PAME report have been prepared by the secretariat in cooperation with the working group (Attachment III). This work was also in part based on previous work on legal analysis done by CAFF and EPPR.
CIRCUMPOLAR MARINE WORKSHOP

Circumpolar Marine Workshop was held in Montreal, Canada, November 28 – December 2, 1999 and was co-sponsored by IUCN, CAFF and PAME. The goals of this workshop were as follows:

1) to look at various ways to develop and implement practical measures to protect the Arctic marine environment and conserve its biological diversity, and

2) to facilitate improved collaboration among Arctic countries, indigenous peoples and other stakeholders and organizations.

The main reason for PAME’s involvement in the workshop is its commitment to work vigorously for early implementation of the RPA and to focus on practical ways to promote conservation, environmental protection and sustainable development.

The report generated from this workshop will be presented at this meeting and its findings and recommendations will be discussed at the next PAME meeting. PAME will evaluate possible ways forward on the issues raised and recommendations that are related to the Arctic marine environment and that are within the framework of the RPA.

SHIPPING ACTIVITIES

Norway has established a correspondence group on shipping and distributed a snapshot analysis of shipping activities (current and potential) in January 2000.

The scope of the work is to:

1. outline a draft snapshot analysis of shipping activities in the Arctic

2. identify and prioritise the environmental problems related to current and potential shipping activities in the Arctic.

In order to prepare PAME discussions on shipping in the Arctic, the correspondence group will also:

1. identify and assess the adequacy of current measures for addressing the problems identified and identify the possible gaps

2. consider appropriate measures to fill the gaps identified and provide recommended actions.

A questionnaire with request for information has been distributed to the members of the correspondence group with a deadline for reply by March 20, 2000.

A draft report will be produced by the lead country and the report will be distributed by end May with the aim to finalize the report at the next PAME meeting in Copenhagen June 5-9 2000.

OFFSHORE OIL AND GAS GUIDELINES

PAME continues to promote application of its Offshore Oil and Gas Guidelines and is currently in the progress of providing comments to WWF on their draft evaluation
criterion for the offshore guidelines, i.e. are the PAME guidelines being implemented? If so, to what extent are they followed and working to meet the original objectives?

PROMOTION

There will be an article on the current status and direction of the PAME working group in the next issue of the Arctic Bulletin that is published quarterly by the WWF Arctic Programme (will come out sometime in April). The PAME International Secretariat is also introduced in the “Who is Who” section of the same issue.

OTHER BUSINESS

The PAME International Secretariat is currently working on PAME’S homepage, which can be found on http://www.grida.no/pame

The next PAME meeting is scheduled for June 5-9, 2000 in Copenhagen, Denmark.

Attachment IV includes compilation of PAME projects that were submitted to the Arctic Council Secretariat in their efforts to increase the cooperation and avoid overlap between the existing working groups and regional organizations.
ATTACHMENT I

OVERVIEW OF PAME WORKPLANS

1999/2000
Clearing House Development/User Needs ........................................ (all)
Establish Correspondence Group on Shipping .................................. (Norway)
Finalize Russian NPA Arctic ......................................................... (Russia)
Support for Russian NPA-Arctic and Partnership Conference ............ (all)
Co-sponsor IUCN Marine Workshop in December ............................. (PAME/CAFF/IUCN)
RPA Implementation ...................................................................... (all)

2000
Define Coastal Area
Respond to Marine Workshop Recommendations
Respond to ACAP Proposal
Support the RPA, Russian NPA-Arctic and Partnership Conference
Factual updates for Analysis of International Agreements and Arrangements
Advance Shipping Analysis
Consider Indicators for Oil and Gas Guideline Effectiveness
Develop Proposals for Clearing House
Communication Brochure
Consider improved reporting to SAOs and Ministers
Progress Reports to Ministers on:
  • RPA, Russian NPA-Arctic, Partnership Conference
  • Shipping Analysis
  • Meeting goals and objectives of offshore guidelines
  • Status of agreements and additional instruments

2001
Hold Partnership Conference
Collate Shipping Proposals
Collate proposed amendments to PAME Offshore Guidelines
Respond to additional RPA Proposals
Complete update on marine pollution sources

2002
Complete Analysis of International Agreements and Arrangements
Provide recommendations on:
  • Adequacy of international agreements and arrangements
  • Possible new shipping measures
  • Possible amendments to offshore oil and gas guidelines
  • Possible new measures for land-based activities
ATTACHMENT II

March 27, 2000

To: PAME Country Contacts

Re: Russian NPA Arctic and the Partnership Conference

In preparation for the upcoming SAO meeting I wanted to confirm that the following information is up-to-date and accurate:

USA: Year 1: $150K Years 2 and 3: $150K each
Canada: Year 1: $50K Years 2 and 3: amounts to be determined
Sweden: Year 1: $80K Years 2 and 3: amounts to be determined
Denmark: Year 1: $70K Years 2 and 3: amounts to be determined
Norway: Year 1: $30K Years 2 and 3: amounts to be determined
Iceland: Amount to be determined
Finland: Year 1: $6K¹ Years 2 and 3: amounts to be determined
UK: Agreement reached to support the partnership conference;
amount to be determined
European Union: Still Pending
GPA: Year 1: $50K
GEF: $306K

¹ Support through the PAME International Secretariat
ATTACHMENT III
## Attachment IV

### List of completed and ongoing projects and activities of the PAME working group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Projects:</th>
<th>Working Group Designation</th>
<th>Sector/Region</th>
<th>Project Period</th>
<th>Lead Country</th>
<th>Leader</th>
<th>Local Counterpart</th>
<th>Implementing Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Update the 1996 report on Analysis of International Agreements and Arrangements</td>
<td>PAME Mandate Nuuk Declaration, Sept 1993</td>
<td>Arctic Region</td>
<td>Update of the PAME 1996 Report – preliminary update by April 2000</td>
<td>PAME working Group and in co-operation with other Arctic Council Working Groups</td>
<td>PAME Secretariat</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>All Arctic countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current and Potential Shipping Activities – Snapshot Analysis</td>
<td>Correspondence Group mechanism</td>
<td>Arctic Region</td>
<td>On-going – to be finalized by Nov 2000</td>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>Mr. Sveinung Ofteadal</td>
<td>Ministry of the Environment, Norway</td>
<td><a href="mailto:svno@md.dep.no">svno@md.dep.no</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promote application of the 1997 Arctic Offshore Oil and Gas Guidelines</td>
<td>Consider indicators for the Oil and Gas Guideline effectiveness</td>
<td>Arctic Countries</td>
<td>On-going and recommend their review in the year 2000</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>WWF - have provided draft criteria for evaluating the PAME guidelines</td>
<td>All Arctic Countries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation of the Regional Programme of Action for the Protection of the Arctic Marine Environment from Land-based Activities (RPA 1998):</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Circumpolar Marine Workshop in Montreal</td>
<td>Co-operation with CAFF and IUCN</td>
<td>Arctic Region (as a part of the RPA)</td>
<td>Workshop in Montreal Nov 28-Dec 2, 1999</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>Financial Support: USA, Canada, Sweden, Norway, Finland, UK, EU, GPA, GEF</td>
<td>Findings to be presented at the SAO meeting in Fairbanks, Alaska Apr 26-28, 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Support to the National Plan of Action for the Protection of the Arctic Marine Environment form Anthropogenic Pollution in the Russian Federation -RUSSIAN NPA-ARCTIC</td>
<td>Co-operation with Russian Federation and ACOPS (as a part of the RPA) Advisory body to the Partnership Conference</td>
<td>Russia PDF-B (GEF Project)</td>
<td>Oct 1999- January 2001 Partnership Conference mid-2001</td>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>ACOPS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Develop Clearing-House Mechanism</td>
<td>As a part of the RPA – UNEP GPA Clearing-House</td>
<td></td>
<td>On-going</td>
<td>PAME working Group</td>
<td>PAME Secretariat</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>